# INCLUSIVITY AND EXCELLENCE: GUIDELINES AND BEST PRACTICES FOR JUDGES APPOINTING LAWYERS TO LEADERSHIP POSITIONS IN MDL AND CLASS-ACTION LITIGATION TEXT OF GUIDELINES AND BEST PRACTICES

James F. Humphreys Complex Litigation Center George Washington Law School March 15, 2021

Complex Litigation Center Patrons Western Alliance Bank (2020-2021) Technology Concepts & Design, Inc. (TCDI) (2020-2021)

## INCLUSIVITY AND EXCELLENCE: GUIDELINES AND BEST PRACTICES FOR JUDGES APPOINTING LAWYERS TO LEADERSHIP POSITIONS IN MDL AND CLASS-ACTION LITIGATION

THE JUDICIAL APPOINTMENTS INCLUSIVITY STANDARD: An MDL transferee judge or presiding class-action judge must uphold the integrity of the federal judiciary and demonstrate to parties, counsel, other participants and stakeholders in the judicial process, and to the public, that invidious discrimination, bias, and exclusion have no place in the federal judiciary.

GUIDELINE 1: An MDL transferee judge or a presiding class-action judge must exercise the power of appointment fairly, transparently, and on the basis of merit. The judge should recognize that diversity enhances the quality of the decision-making process and results, and should make appointments consistent with the diversity of our society and justice system.

A judge should avoid an appearance of favoritism when appointing a leadership team for an MDL or class action made up of a single sex, race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, age range, or similarly prohibited basis. The judge must make a conscious effort to avoid implicit bias and not overlook qualified applicants based on race, color, gender, sexual orientation, disability, or similar prohibited factors.

BEST PRACTICE 1A: An MDL transferee judge or judge presiding over a class action should be fair and transparent in the appointment process, including in considering the financial resources of applicants for leadership positions. When a judge identifies a diverse candidate well suited for a leadership position, but who lacks the necessary financial resources, the judge should nonetheless consider appointment if the attorney will be part of a larger group that is able to provide financial assistance or who can be assigned a limited-leadership role.

**BEST PRACTICE 1B**: In deciding whether to choose either the individual-application or consensus-selection method, the MDL judge or judge presiding over a class action should consider among other considerations which method will likely result in a more diverse pool of candidates.

BEST PRACTICE 1C: In considering appointing lead counsel, a steering committee, liaison counsel, or a subcommittee, the MDL transferee judge or the judge presiding over the class action should take into account whether the prospective leadership team adequately reflects the diversity of legal talent available and whether those appointed are best able to serve the needs of the case, particularly when the candidates have little, or no, diversity.

<sup>†</sup>Copyright © 2021, James F. Humphreys Complex Litigation Center All Rights Reserved. This document does not necessarily reflect the views of George Washington Law School or its faculty, the Humphreys Complex Litigation Center, or any other organization including the Judicial Conference of the United States or any other government unit.

BEST PRACTICE 1D: The MDL transferee judge or judge presiding over a class action should consider issuing orders or guidelines that direct leadership counsel to take into account diversity among the factors considered when assigning duties.

BEST PRACTICE 1E: If there is little or no evidence of diversity in the pool of applicants presented to the court for an MDL or class-action leadership position, a judge should probe whether and how diversity was taken into account in the application or selection process.

**BEST PRACTICE 1F**: As a matter of district-wide policy, judges should look for and encourage efforts to create a more diverse pool of applicants for leadership positions.

**GUIDELINE 2**: An MDL transferee judge or judge presiding over a class action should consult with counsel about the type of administrative structure that will best serve the needs of the case, while ensuring that counsel who are interested in and qualified for leadership are not denied opportunities to perform substantial, meaningful work on account of race, color, gender, sexual orientation, age, disability, or similar prohibited factors.

BEST PRACTICE 2A: If the proposed number of leadership positions in an MDL or class action is limited, the judge should consider increasing that number if doing so would enhance diversity.

BEST PRACTICE 2B: A transferee or presiding judge should ask about the litigation team supporting lead counsel and how substantive work will be assigned to enhance the benefits of diversity in that team.

**GUIDELINE 3**: An MDL transferee judge or judge presiding over a class action has an ongoing duty to monitor the litigation to ensure that counsel, especially those serving in court-appointed roles, are performing their assigned duties in a manner that is free of invidious discrimination and bias and that maintains public confidence in the integrity of the judiciary.

**BEST PRACTICE 3A:** The transferee or presiding judge should remain vigilant that appointments and work assignments made throughout the litigation take diversity into account.

**BEST PRACTICE 3B**: The MDL transferee judge or judge presiding over a class action should consider revisiting leadership appointments periodically or reminding the lawyers of the opportunity to do so if circumstances change.

BEST PRACTICE 3C: On request and at the conclusion of the litigation, the MDL transferee judge or judge presiding over the class action should consider offering lawyers appointed to leadership positions, including lead counsel, an opportunity to receive feedback on their performance.

BEST PRACTICE 3D: A transferee or presiding judge should insist that counsel treat other lawyers with dignity and respect and make clear that discriminatory or abusive behavior will be subject to appropriate discipline.

### ADDITIONAL SUGGESTIONS TO PROMOTE INCLUSIVITY IN THE APPOINTMENT OF LEAD COUNSEL IN MDLs AND CLASS ACTIONS

**SUGGESTION 1:** The Judicial Conference should consider issuing a model local rule or other guidance promoting inclusivity in appointments by judges.

**SUGGESTION 2:** The Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation has appointed diverse MDL transferee judges and should continue to do so.

**SUGGESTION 3:** At its annual transferee-judge program, the JPML should include programs promoting diversity in appointments to leadership positions.

**SUGGESTION 4:** The JPML should share its database of leadership-appointment orders publicly either on its website or as part of the annual reports disseminated by the Administrative Office of United States Courts.

**SUGGESTION** 5: The Federal Judicial Center should continue to provide educational opportunities for judges to recognize the value of diversity in appointing lawyers to leadership positions, maintaining vigilance to avoid implicit bias.

**SUGGESTION 6:** The Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation should consider transmitting a copy of this report to every newly appointed MDL transferee judge.

#### **SIGNATORIES**

CENTER INCLUSIVITY AND EXCELLENCE: GUIDELINES AND BEST PRACTICES FOR JUDGES APPOINTING LAWYERS TO LEADERSHIP POSITIONS IN MDL AND CLASS-ACTION LITIGATION GW JAMES F. HUMPHREYS COMPLEX LITIGATION CENTER

BAR ORGANIZATIONS
FOUNDING SIGNATORIES

- DEFENSE RESEARCH INSTITUTE (DRI)
- DRI CENTER FOR LAW AND POLICY
- FEDERATION OF DEFENSE & CORPORATE COUNSEL (FDCC)
- MAINE STATE BAR ASSOCIATION
- MAINE TRIAL LAWYERS ASSOCIATION
- NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF MINORITY & WOMEN OWNED LAW FIRMS (NAMWOLF)
- NATIONAL BLACK LAWYERS TOP 100
- THE IMPACT FUND
- WOMEN EN MASS

#### LAW FIRMS

#### FOUNDING SIGNATORIES

- 1. ANAPOL WEISS
- 2. ANDRUSWAGSTAFF, PLC
- 3. ANDRUS ANDERSON, LLP
- 4. ASHCRAFT & GEREL, LLP
- 5. THE AXELROD FIRM, PC
- 6. AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ
- 7. BAILEY & GLASSER
- 8. BARRIOS, KINGSDORF & CASTEIX, LLP
- 9. BERMAN & SIMMONS
- 10. BLEICHMAR FONTI & AULD, LLP
- 11. BOSSIER & ASSOCIATES, PLLC
- 12. BRANSTETTER, STRANCH & JENNINGS, PLLC
- 13. BROOKE F. COHEN, PLLC
- <sup>14.</sup> BULLOCK & HARRIS, P.C.
- 15. BURKE, PLLC
- 16. CALCATERRA POLLACK, LLP
- 17. CARTER LAW GROUP, P.C.
- 18. CLAUDINE Q. HOMOLASH FIRM
- 19. COHEN AND MALAD, LLP
- <sup>20.</sup> COHEN, PLACITELLA & ROTH
- 21. CONSUMER PROTECTION LEGAL, LLC
- 22. CUNEO GILBERT & LADUCA, LLP
- 23. DECHERT, LLP
- <sup>24</sup>. DEGARIS WRIGHT MCCALL, LLC
- 25. FARACI LANG, LLP
- <sup>26</sup>. FARRISE LAW FIRM
- 27. FEARS NACHAWATI LAW FIRM
- 28. FEGAN SCOTT, LLC
- <sup>29</sup>. FERRER POIROT WANSBROUGH
- 30. FINE, KAPLAN AND BLACK, RPC
- 31. FITZPATRICK LAW
- 32. FLINT LAW FIRM L LC
- 33. FREESE & GOSS, PLLC
- 34. GALIHER DEROBERTIS & WAXMAN, LLP
- 35. GEORGE GESTEN MCDONALD, PLLC
- 36. GIBBS LAW GROUP, LLP

- 37. GIRARD SHARP, LLP
- 38. GOMEZ TRIAL ATTORNEYS
- 39. GRANT & EISENHOFER, PA
- 40. GUSTAFSON GLUEK, PLLC
- 41. HUGHES HUBBARD & REED, LLP
- 42. JOHNSON BECKER, PLLC
- 43. JUSTINIAN & ASSOCIATES
- 44. KANNER & WHITELEY, LLC
- 45. KELLER ROHRBACK, LLP
- 46. KLEIN & SPECTER, PC
- 47. KLEIN FRANK, PC
- 48. LAMINACK, PIRTLE & MARTINES
- 49. LANGDON & EMISON
- 50. LABATON SUCHAROW, LLP
- 51. LAW OFFICE OF JENNIFER R. JOHNSON, APLC
- 52. LEVIN SIMES ABRAMS, LLP
- 53. LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP
- 54. MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC
- 55. MESHBESHER & SPENCE, LTD
- $^{\rm 56.}\,$  MILBERG, COLEMAN, BRYSON, PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, PLLC
- 57. MOORE LAW GROUP, PLLC
- 58. MORGAN & MORGAN
- 59. MOSTYN LAW
- 60. NASTLAW, LLC
- 61. O'KEEFFE O'BRIEN LYSON AND FOSS
- 62. OLIVER LAW GROUP
- 63. ONDERLAW, LLC
- 64. PENNOCK LAW FIRM, LLC
- 65. PRITZKER LEVINE, LLP
- 66. ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD
- 67. ROBINS KAPLAN, LLP
- 68. SCHLICHTER BOGARD & DENTON, LLP
- <sup>69.</sup> SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTRELL KONECKY, LLP
- 70. SCHROEDER LAW OFFICE, PLLC
- 71. SECK LAW, PC
- 72. SIMMONS HANLY CONROY
- 73. SIMON GREENSTONE PANATIER, PC
- <sup>74.</sup> SKIKOS, CRAWFORD, SKIKOS & JOSEPH, LLP
- 75. SWMW LAW, LLC
- 76. TADLER LAW, LLP
- 77. TAUTFEST BOND, PLLC
- 78. TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP
- 79. THE CARLSON LAW FIRM
- 80. THE CHEEK LAW FIRM, LLC
- 81. THE CICALA LAW FIRM, PLLC
- 82. THE FINLEY FIRM, P.C.
- 83. TE GORI LAW FIRM

- 84. THE HYMAN LAW FIRM
- $85.\;\;$  the kishinevsky law firm, PLLC
- 86. THE LANIER LAW FIRM
- 87. THE LAWRENCE LAW FIRM, PSC
- 88. THE MOSKOWITZ LAW FIRM
- 89. THOMAS LAW OFFICES, PLLC
- 90. TYCKO & ZAVAREEI, LLP
- $^{91.}\,$  Van Wey, Presby & Williams, Pllc
- 92. WAGSTAFF & CARTMELL
- 93. WATERS, KRAUS & PAUL
- 94. WEITZ & LUXENBERG
- 95. YAEGER LAW, PLLC
- 96. ZIMMERMAN REED, LLP
- 97. ZINNS LAW, LLC

#### **COMPANIES & CORPORATIONS**

FOUNDING SIGNATORIES

- ANGEION GROUP
- ANKURA CONSULTING GROUP
- DATALAW, PLLC
- MILESTONE CONSULTING, LLC
- POSTLETHWAITE & NETTERVILLE (P & N)
- TECHNOLOGY CONCEPTS & DESIGN, INC. (TCDI)
- THE HUNTINGTON NATIONAL BANK